Leakage-Resilient Primitives using Re-keying Journées Codage et Cryptographie 2014

Sonia Belaïd^{1,2}

École Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris

Thales Communications & Security

Sonia.Belaid@ens.fr

Side-Channel Attacks Countermeasures

Side-Channel Attacks

- physical leakage
 - timing
 - power consumption
 - temperature
 - ...
- statistical treatment
- key recovery



Side-Channel Attacks Countermeasures

Countermeasures against Side-Channel Attacks

Re-keying Masking sensitive values randomized: x replaced by $(x_m, m_0, \ldots, m_{d-1})$ DPA Re-keying $X = X_m \star m_0 \star \cdots \star m_{d-1}$ Drawbacks of Masking k* X higher-order attacks Block m Cipher X performances

Side-Channel Attacks Countermeasures

Countermeasures against Side-Channel Attacks



Side-Channel Attacks Countermeasures

Goal

Goal:

- build leakage-resilient primitives
- practical for use in constrained devices

Leakage-Resilient Cryptography Model

- only computation leaks
- bounded amount of leakage per invocation
- unlimited number of invocations



Practical constraints:

- limited code size
- limited storage
- reasonable execution time

Side-Channel Attacks Countermeasures

Outline



2 Leakage-Resilient PRNG with Input



Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Outline



2 Leakage-Resilient PRNG with Input

3 Conclusion

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Encryption Scheme





Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Encryption Scheme



Goal: efficient and leakage-resilient encryption scheme

Related Work: Kocher's patent 1999 but

- multiple use of the same key
- no security proof
- Contribution: Leakage-Resilient Symmetric Encryption via Re-keying Michel Abdalla, Sonia Belaïd, Pierre-Alain Fouque CHES 2013: 471-488



Context

Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Contributions



Scheme 1 LR encryption scheme using a LR PRF

Scheme 2 Instantiation of Scheme 1 with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Scheme 3 more efficient and still LR encryption scheme with a tweaked (not LR and not PRF) function

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2

- Re-keying Primitive
 - leakage-resilient PRF
- Block Cipher
 - 🕨 as a PRF
 - not leakage-resilient



- instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2

- Re-keying Primitive
 - leakage-resilient PRF
- Block Cipher
 - 🕨 as a PRF
 - not leakage-resilient



- instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2

- Re-keying Primitive
 - leakage-resilient PRF
- Block Cipher
 - as a PRF
 - not leakage-resilient



- instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2

- k **Re-keying Primitive** k₁ leakage-resilient PRF Leakage k₁₀ Resilient PRF Block Cipher k* as a PRF not leakage-resilient Block Example: $\Gamma(k, 101)$ ► m Cipher $k_1 = F(k_1q_0)$ $k_{10} = F(k_1, p_1)$
 - instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2



- instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Schemes 1 and 2



- instantiated with the [FPS12] LR PRF

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Scheme 3: More Efficient LR Encryption Scheme

LR Encryption Scheme from

- re-keying function (not LR, not PRF)
- block cipher

with

- short-cuts between keys
- uniformly random values:
 - one triplet per level [FPS12],
 - PRG with public seed [YS13]

but

 additional constraint on the message



Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis

Security Aspects



- ▶ block cipher with random inputs → plaintext added to the output
- same primitive for the block cipher and the weak PRFs
- secret keys used at most three times :
 - \rightarrow avoid the recomputation of previous keys

Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis



Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis





Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis





Context Leakage-Resilient Constructions Practical Analysis



Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Outline



2 Leakage-Resilient PRNG with Input

3 Conclusion

Context

PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Pseudo-Random Generators



Context

PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Pseudo-Random Generators



Goal: efficient and leakage-resilient PRNG

Related Works: PRNG

- robust with input: Dodis et al. CCS'13
- leakage-resilient: Yu et al. CCS'10



Contribution: Leakage-Resilient PRNG with Input Michel Abdalla, Sonia Belaïd, David Pointcheval, Sylvain Ruhault, Damien Vergnaud

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

PRNG with Input from CCS 2013

- setup() outputs seed = (X, X');
- $\triangleright \ S = \operatorname{refresh}(S, I; X) = S \cdot X + I;$
- $(S, R) = next(S; X') = G([X'S]_1^m).$



 $\textbf{G}: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{n+\ell} \text{ is a secure PRG } (\textit{K} \leftarrow [\textit{X'S}]_1^m).$

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Security Properties

Attacker A Capabilities



Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Security Properties



Attacker A Capabilities

ask for outputs (S, R)

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Security Properties



Attacker *A* Capabilities

- ▶ ask for outputs (S, R)
- compromise the inputs I

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Security Properties



Attacker *A* Capabilities

- > ask for outputs (S, R)
- compromise the inputs I
- compromise the internal state S

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Security Properties



Attacker A Capabilities

- ▶ ask for outputs (S, R)
- compromise the inputs I
- compromise the internal state S

Robustness

If enough entropy is provided, A cannot distinguish (S, R) from a uniformly random string with a significant advantage.

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

New Security Properties



Attacker $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ Capabilities

- ▶ ask for outputs (S, R)
- compromise the inputs I
- compromise the internal state S

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

New Security Properties



Attacker $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ Capabilities

- ▶ ask for outputs (*S*, *R*)
- compromise the inputs I
- compromise the internal state S
- ► collect the leakage: λ bits of information on the manipulated data at each invocation

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

New Security Properties



Attacker $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ Capabilities

- ▶ ask for outputs (*S*, *R*)
- compromise the inputs I
- compromise the internal state S
- ► collect the leakage: λ bits of information on the manipulated data at each invocation

Robustness with Leakage

If enough entropy is provided, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ cannot distinguish (S, R) from a uniformly random string with a significant advantage.

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Limitations in Presence of Leakage: Generator G

- setup() outputs seed = (X, X');
- $\triangleright S = \operatorname{refresh}(S, I; X) = S \cdot X + I;$
- $(S, R) = next(S; X') = G([X'S]_1^m).$



 \rightarrow Diffential Power Analysis of G

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

New Generic Construction

• setup() outputs seed = (X, X', X'');

•
$$S = \operatorname{refresh}(S, I; X) = S \cdot X + I;$$

►
$$(S, R) = \operatorname{next}(S; X', X'') = \operatorname{G}([X'S]_1^m, X'').$$

New security property for PRG G

G is a leakage-resilient and secure PRG.

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Leakage-Resilient Instantiation



 $(K_0||\ldots||K_{\kappa-1}||C) \leftarrow [X'S]_1^m$

m is $(\kappa + 1)$ times larger than in CCS'13

Context PRNG with Input from CCS 2013 Leakage-Resilient Generic Construction Instantiation

Practical Analysis

CCS'13 (2^{-40} security):

- ▶ internal state S: 489 bits
- > threshold: $\gamma^* = 449$
- AES: 5 calls with 1 secret key

Our Construction (2^{-40} security):

- ▶ internal state *S*: 1408 bits
- threshold: $\gamma^* = 1370$
- AES: 12 calls with 6 secret keys (2 calls per secret key)
- ▶ Efficiency: about five times slower than CCS 13
- Security: higher security levels can be achieved with a tweaked instantiation

Outline



Leakage-Resilient PRNG with Input





Conclusion

- Summary
 - * leakage-resilient and efficient symmetric encryption
 - * leakage-resilient and efficient PRNG with input
- Further Work
 - more efficient leakage-resilient primitives
 - * leakage-resilience evaluation of different modes of operation

Thank you



